

## Goodness-of-Fit Tests

### Starter

1. **(Review of last lesson)** During an influenza epidemic 15 boys and 8 girls became ill out of a class of 22 boys and 28 girls. Assuming this class may be treated as a random sample of the age group, test at the 5% level hypothesis whether there is a connection between sex and susceptibility to influenza.

**Working:** The table for the **observed** frequencies is:

Observed frequencies	Boys	Girls	Totals
Became ill	15	8	23
Did not become ill	7	20	27
Totals	22	28	50

The table for the **expected** frequencies (if independent) is:

Expected frequencies	Boys	Girls	Totals
Became ill	10.12	12.88	23
Did not become ill	11.88	15.12	27
Totals	22	28	50

Apply Yates' correction to the calculation of  $\chi^2_{calc}$

$$\chi^2_{calc} = \sum \frac{(|O_i - E_i| - 0.5)^2}{E_i}$$

$$= \frac{(|15 - 10.12| - 0.5)^2}{10.12} + \frac{(|8 - 12.88| - 0.5)^2}{12.88} + \frac{(|7 - 11.88| - 0.5)^2}{11.88} + \frac{(|20 - 15.12| - 0.5)^2}{15.12}$$

$$= 6.269$$

$H_0$  : there is an association between sex and susceptibility to influenza

$H_1$  : there is no association between sex and susceptibility to influenza

Degrees of freedom,  $\nu = 1 \times 1 = 1$

The critical value at the 5% level is  $\chi^2_1(5\%) = 3.841$

Since  $\chi^2_{calc} = 6.269 < 3.841 = \chi^2_1(5\%)$ , we reject  $H_0$ .

There is evidence of an association between sex and susceptibility to influenza.

2. One hundred digits between 0 and 9 are generated by a computer with frequencies below:

Digit	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Frequency	11	8	8	7	8	9	12	9	13	15

- (a) Write down the expected frequencies.
- (b) Calculate the  $\chi^2_{calc} = \sum \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$  value for these values
- (c) State how many degrees of freedom there are in the table and state the 5% critical value from the  $\chi^2$  tables.
- (d) Could the numbers have been generated randomly? Test at the 5% level, stating your null and alternative hypotheses clearly.

**Working:** (a) 10

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(b)} \quad \chi^2_{calc} &= \sum \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i} \\
 &= \frac{1^2}{10} + \frac{2^2}{10} + \frac{2^2}{10} + \frac{3^2}{10} + \frac{2^2}{10} + \frac{1^2}{10} + \frac{2^2}{10} + \frac{1^2}{10} + \frac{3^2}{10} + \frac{5^2}{10} \\
 \chi^2_{calc} &= 6.2
 \end{aligned}$$

- (c) The frequencies from 0 – 8 can be filled in but then given there is a fixed number of total frequencies, the frequency for 9 is fixed.  
Therefore, the number of degrees of freedom is 9  
 $\nu = 10 - 1 = 9; \chi^2_9(5\%) = 16.96$

- (d)  $H_0$  : the number were generated randomly  
 $H_1$  : the number were not generated randomly  
 $\chi^2_{calc} = 6.2 < 16.92 = \chi^2_9(5\%);$   
 We do not reject  $H_0$ .  
 The values could be from a random number table.

**E.g. 1** The data in the table are thought to be modelled by the binomial distribution  $B(10, 0.2)$ . Conduct a test at the 5% significance level to check whether this is a good model.

$x$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Frequency of $x$	12	28	28	17	7	4	2	2	0

**Working:**

$x$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Frequency of $x$	10.7	26.8	30.2	20.1	8.8	2.6	0.55	0.08	0.01

But  $E_i \geq 5$  for 5 – 8 so we need to combine 4 – 8

$x$	0	1	2	3	4–8
Observed	12	28	28	17	15
Expected	10.7	26.8	30.2	20.1	12.1

$H_0$  : the results can be modelled by a  $B(10, 0.2)$  distribution

$H_1$  : the results cannot be modelled by a  $B(10, 0.2)$  distribution

Degrees of freedom,  $\nu = 5 - 1 = 4$

The critical value at the 5% level is  $\chi_4^2(5\%) = 9.488$

$$\chi_{calc}^2 = \sum \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$$

$$= \frac{(12 - 10.7)^2}{10.7} + \frac{(28 - 26.8)^2}{26.8} + \frac{(28 - 30.2)^2}{30.2} + \frac{(17 - 20.1)^2}{20.1} + \frac{(15 - 12.1)^2}{12.1}$$

$$\chi_{calc}^2 = 1.55$$

Since  $\chi_{calc}^2 = 1.55 < 9.488 = \chi_4^2(5\%)$ , we do not reject  $H_0$ .

$B(10, 0.2)$  is a possible model for the data

**E.g. 2** The table below shows the number of employees in thousands at five factories and the number of accidents in 3 years.

Factory	A	B	C	D	E
Employees (000s)	4	3	5	1	2
Accidents	22	14	25	8	12

Using a 2.5 % level significance, test the hypothesis that the number of accidents per 1000 employees is constant at each factory.

**Hint:** work out the number of accidents per 1000 employees.

**Working:** Total employees = 15000 and total accidents = 81  
So accidents per 1000 employees = 5.4  
Expected frequencies for the 5 factories are 21.6, 16.2, 27, 5.4, 10.8  
 $H_0$  : the number of accidents is constant at each factory  
 $H_1$  : the number of accidents is not constant at each factory  
Degrees of freedom = 5 - 1 = 4

$$\chi^2_{calc} = \sum \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$$
$$= \frac{(22 - 21.6)^2}{21.6} + \frac{(14 - 16.2)^2}{16.2} + \frac{(25 - 27)^2}{27} + \frac{(8 - 5.4)^2}{5.4} + \frac{(12 - 10.8)^2}{10.8}$$

$$\chi^2_{calc} = 1.84$$

Since  $\chi^2_{calc} = 1.84 < 11.14 = \chi^2_4(2.5\%)$ , we do not reject  $H_0$ .

There is evidence to suggest the number of accidents is constant at each factory.

**E.g. 3** The number of telephone calls arriving at an exchange in 6–minute periods were recorded over a period of 8 hours, with the following results:

Number of calls	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Frequency	8	19	26	13	7	5	1	1	0

Can these results be modelled by a Poisson distribution? Test at the 10 % level.

**Working:**  $H_0$  : the results can be modelled by a Poisson distribution  
 $H_1$  : the results cannot be modelled by a Poisson distribution  
 Since we don't have  $\lambda$  we must estimate it from the data.

$$\lambda = \frac{176}{80} = 2.2$$

Number of calls	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Frequency	8	19	26	13	7	5	1	1	0
Expected	8.86	19.5	21.5	15.7	8.65	3.81	1.4	0.44	0.12

But  $E_i \geq 5$  for 5 – 8 so we need to combine 5 – 8

Number of calls	0	1	2	3	4	5–8
Frequency	8	19	26	13	7	7
Expected	8.86	19.5	21.5	15.7	8.65	5.8

Degrees of freedom,  $\nu = 6 - 1 - 1 = 4$   $\lambda$  is estimated

The critical value at the 10 % level is  $\chi_4^2(10\%) = 7.779$

$$\chi_{calc}^2 = \sum \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$$

$$= \frac{(8 - 8.86)^2}{8.86} + \frac{(19 - 19.5)^2}{19.5} + \frac{(26 - 21.5)^2}{21.5} + \frac{(13 - 15.7)^2}{15.7} + \frac{(7 - 8.65)^2}{8.65} + \frac{(7 - 5.8)^2}{5.8}$$

$$\chi_{calc}^2 = 2.11$$

Since  $\chi_{calc}^2 = 2.11 < 7.779 = \chi_4^2(10\%)$ , we do not reject  $H_0$ .

Poisson is a possible model for the data.

**E.g. 4** A marksman fires 6 shots at a target and records the number of bull's eye hits. After a series of 100 such trials he analyses his scores and the frequencies are below.

Number of hits	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Frequency	0	26	36	20	10	6	2

- (a) Estimate the probability of hitting a bull's eye.  
 (b) Use a test at the 5% significance level to see if these results are consistent with the assumption of a binomial distribution.

**Working:** (a) Total hits =  $1 \times 26 + 2 \times 36 + 3 \times 20 + 4 \times 10 + 5 \times 6 + 6 \times 2 = 240$   
 Total shots =  $6 \times 100 = 600$

An estimate for the probability of hitting the target is  $\frac{240}{600} = 0.4$ .

(b) Expected =  $100 \times {}^6C_x \times 0.4^x \times 0.6^{n-x}$

Number of hits	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Observed	0	26	36	20	10	6	2
Expected	4.6656	18.6624	31.104	27.648	13.824	3.6864	0.4096

Combine columns where  $E_i < 5$ .

Number of hits	0-1	2	3	4-6
Observed	26	36	20	18
Expected	23.328	31.104	27.648	17.92

$$\chi_{calc}^2 = \sum \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$$

$$= \frac{(26 - 23.328)^2}{23.328} + \frac{(36 - 31.104)^2}{31.104} + \frac{(20 - 27.648)^2}{27.648} + \frac{(18 - 17.92)^2}{17.92}$$

$$\chi_{calc}^2 = 3.19$$

Degrees of freedom,  $\nu = 4 - 1 - 1$  *p is estimated*

Since  $\chi_{calc}^2 = 3.19 < 5.991 = \chi_2^2(5\%)$ , we do not reject  $H_0$ .

There is evidence to suggest the bull's eye hits are binomially distributed.

**N.B.** Yates' correction is only used for contingency tables

**Video A:** [Goodness of fit tests \(from 9:24\)](#)

**Video B:** [Goodness of fit tests](#)

[Solutions to Starter and E.g.s](#)

**Exercise**

AS: p111 6C Qu 1i, 2-4, 7, 11, (12, 13 red) — avoid Normal distribution questions.

A2: p111 6C Qu 5, 6, 8-10